

# Equivariant cohomology of flag manifolds of types B, C, and D

Matthew Samuel

## Preliminaries and definitions

We will make extensive use of the following definitions.

**Definition 1.** A **strict partition**  $\lambda$  is a strictly decreasing sequence of positive integers. We will denote by  $\mathcal{S}_n$  (for  $n > 0$  an integer) the set of all strict partitions whose elements are less than or equal to  $n$ .

**Definition 2.** A **flag** is an increasing sequence of vector spaces  $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_m$  (over the same field) such that the dimension of  $V_i$  is  $i$ .

## Grassmannians

We start with some linear algebra.

**Definition 3.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$ ,  $A \subset V$  a subspace, and  $b : V \times V \rightarrow F$  a bilinear form. Then  $A$  is said to be **isotropic** with respect to  $b$  if for all  $v, w \in A$ ,  $b(v, w) = 0$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $V$  be an even-dimensional vector space over a field  $F$ , and let  $\omega : V \times V \rightarrow F$  be a skew-symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form. Then a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  for  $V$  is called  **$\omega$ -isotropic** if  $\omega(v_i, v_j) = \omega(w_i, w_j) = 0$  and  $\omega(v_i, w_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \leq n$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $F$ , and let  $\omega : V \times V \rightarrow F$  be a skew-symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form. Then there is an integer  $n$  such that the dimension of  $V$  is  $2n$ , and there exists an  $\omega$ -isotropic basis for  $V$ . If  $A \subset V$  is a  $2k$ -dimensional subspace with an  $\omega$ -isotropic basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ , then this basis for  $A$  can be extended to an  $\omega$ -isotropic basis for  $V$ .*

Proof: Choose a nonzero  $v_1 \in V$ . Then, by nondegeneracy of the form, there is a vector  $w_1$  with  $\omega(v_1, w_1) = 1$ . Since  $\omega(v_1, w_1) \neq 0$ , the set  $\{v_1, w_1\}$  is linearly independent.

Assume inductively that for some  $k > 0$  we have a linearly independent set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  that is an  $\omega$ -isotropic basis for a subspace of  $V$  with

$2k < \dim V$ . Then there exists a vector  $v \in V$  that is not in the subspace generated by  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ . Set

$$v_{k+1} = v + \sum_{i=1}^k \omega(w_i, v)v_i - \sum_{i=1}^k \omega(v_i, v)w_i.$$

Then by inspection  $\omega(v_{k+1}, v_i) = \omega(v_{k+1}, w_i) = 0$  for all  $i \leq k$ . By nondegeneracy of the form, there exists a  $w$  with  $\omega(v_{k+1}, w) = 1$ , and since  $\omega(v_{k+1}, w) \neq 0$ ,  $w$  is not in the subspace generated by  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ . Set

$$w_{k+1} = w + \sum_{i=1}^k \omega(w_i, w)v_i - \sum_{i=1}^k \omega(v_i, w)w_i.$$

Then the set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}, w_1, \dots, w_{k+1}\}$  is linearly independent, and for  $i, j \leq k+1$ ,  $\omega(v_i, w_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , and  $\omega(v_i, v_j) = \omega(w_i, w_j) = 0$ . Hence for each integer  $m$  with  $2m \leq \dim V$  we have constructed an  $\omega$ -isotropic basis for some subspace of  $V$  of dimension  $2m$ . Furthermore, if  $2m < \dim V$ , we have shown that there exists a basis containing this one for a subspace of dimension  $2m+2$ . Thus, there is some  $n$  such that  $2n = \dim V$ , and therefore the stated basis exists. ■

Thus, there is essentially only one skew-symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form on an even-dimensional complex vector space (and none on an odd-dimensional vector space). A similar statement is true for a symmetric bilinear form.

**Definition 5.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$ , and let  $\sigma : V \times V \rightarrow F$  be a symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form. Then a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  for  $V$  is called  $\sigma$ -isotropic if  $\sigma(v_i, v_j) = \sigma(w_i, w_j) = 0$  and  $\sigma(v_i, w_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \leq n$  (in case the dimension of  $V$  is  $2n$ ), and a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_n, u\}$  for  $V$  is called  $\sigma$ -isotropic if in addition  $\sigma(u, v_i) = \sigma(u, w_i) = 0$  for all  $i \leq n$ , and  $\sigma(u, u) = 1$  (in case the dimension of  $V$  is  $2n+1$ ).

**Proposition 2.** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $F$  of characteristic not equal to 2 such that the map  $F \rightarrow F$  given by  $a \mapsto a^2$  is surjective, and let  $\sigma : V \times V \rightarrow F$  be a symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -isotropic basis for  $V$ . If  $A \subset V$  is a subspace of dimension  $2k$  with a  $\sigma$ -isotropic basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ , then this basis can be extended to a  $\sigma$ -isotropic basis for  $V$ .

Proof: Let  $v \in V$  be a nonzero vector, and let  $z \in V$  be such that  $\sigma(v, z) = 1$ . We wish to construct a vector  $v_1$  such that  $\sigma(v_1, v_1) = 0$ . If this is true of either  $v$  or  $z$ , then we are done with this step, so assume this is not the case. If  $a \in F$ , we can compute

$$\sigma(v + az, v + az) = \sigma(v, v) + 2a\sigma(v, z) + a^2\sigma(z, z).$$

Since  $F$  is closed under taking square roots and  $\sigma(z, z)$  is nonzero, we can solve  $a^2\sigma(z, z) + 2a\sigma(v, z) + \sigma(v, v) = 0$  for  $a$ . Having done this, we set  $v_1 = v + az$ , so that  $\sigma(v_1, v_1) = 0$ .

By nondegeneracy of the form, there is a vector  $w \in V$  such that  $\sigma(v_1, w) = 1$ . We can compute

$$\sigma(w + a'v_1, w + a'v_1) = \sigma(w, w) + 2a'\sigma(w, v_1),$$

so if we set  $w_1 = w - \frac{\sigma(w, w)}{2\sigma(w, v_1)}v_1$ , we have  $\sigma(v_1, w_1) = 1$  and  $\sigma(w_1, w_1) = 0$ .

Assume inductively that we have a linearly independent set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  satisfying  $\sigma(v_i, v_j) = \sigma(w_i, w_j) = 0$  and  $\sigma(v_i, w_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \leq k$  that is not a basis. Let  $x \in V$  be a vector not in the subspace generated by  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ . Then if we set

$$u = x - \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma(x, w_i)v_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma(x, v_i)w_i,$$

we have that  $\sigma(v_i, u) = \sigma(w_i, u) = 0$  for all  $i \leq k$ . If  $\dim V = 2k + 1$ , we must have that  $\sigma(u, u) \neq 0$ , and hence we may assume  $\sigma(u, u) = 1$ , so the desired basis exists.

Otherwise, let  $y \in V$  be such that  $\sigma(u, y) = 1$ . As in the construction of  $u$  from  $x$  in the previous paragraph, we may assume that  $\sigma(v_i, y) = \sigma(w_i, y) = 0$  for all  $i \leq k$ , and this preserves  $\sigma(u, y) = 1$ . As in the first paragraph, construct  $v_{k+1}$  from  $u$  and  $y$  with  $\sigma(v_{k+1}, v_{k+1}) = 0$ , which preserves orthogonality with  $v_i, w_i$  for  $i \leq k$ . Let  $w \in V$  be such that  $\sigma(v_{k+1}, w) = 1$ . We can construct a  $w'$  from  $v_{k+1}$  and  $w$  such that  $\sigma(w', w') = 0$ , as in the first paragraph. Finally, set

$$w_{k+1} = w' - \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma(w', w_i)v_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma(w', v_i)w_i$$

so that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}, w_1, \dots, w_{k+1}\}$  satisfies  $\sigma(w_i, w_j) = \sigma(v_i, v_j) = 0$  and  $\sigma(v_i, w_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j \leq k + 1$ .

This inductive process will terminate by finite-dimensionality of  $V$ , leaving a basis with the desired properties. ■

**Definition 6.** Let  $F$  be a field, and let  $\omega : F^{2n} \times F^{2n} \rightarrow F$  be a skew-symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form. Then the set of all isotropic subspaces of  $F^{2n}$  (with respect to  $\omega$ ) of dimension  $k$  is denoted by  $LGr(k, 2n)$  and is called a **Grassmannian** of type  $C$ .  $LGr(n, 2n)$  is also referred to as the **Lagrangian Grassmannian**.

**Definition 7.** Let  $F$  be a field, and let  $\sigma : F^d \times F^d \rightarrow F$  be a symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form. Then the set of all isotropic subspaces of  $F^d$  (with respect to  $\sigma$ ) of dimension  $k$  is denoted by  $OGr(k, d)$  and is called an even or odd **orthogonal Grassmannian**, according to whether  $d$  is even or odd.

Note that the group  $Sp(2n, F)$  acts on  $LGr(k, 2n)$ , taking isotropic subspaces to isotropic subspaces. The same is true of  $SO(d, F)$  on  $OGr(k, d)$ . We will show that this action is always transitive for  $Sp(2n, F)$ , and is transitive for the orthogonal Grassmannian if the map  $a \mapsto a^2$  is surjective and  $\text{char } F \neq 2$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The following statements hold:*

- (a) *Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $2n$  equipped with a skew-symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form  $\omega$ , and let  $A \subset V$  be a subspace of dimension  $k$  that is isotropic with respect to  $\omega$ . Then there is an isotropic subspace  $B$  of dimension  $k$  such that there is an  $\omega$ -isotropic basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  for  $A + B$ , where  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is a basis for  $A$  and  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  is a basis for  $B$ .*
- (b) *Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $2n$  or  $2n + 1$  (over a field of characteristic not equal to 2 such that the map  $F \rightarrow F$ ,  $a \mapsto a^2$  is surjective) equipped with a symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form  $\sigma$ , and let  $A \subset V$  be an isotropic subspace of dimension  $k$ . Then there is an isotropic subspace  $B$  of dimension  $k$  such that there is a  $\sigma$ -isotropic basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  for  $A + B$ , where  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is a basis for  $A$  and  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  is a basis for  $B$ .*

*In particular,  $k \leq n$  in both cases.*

Proof: (a) This is true if the dimension of  $A$  is 1 by nondegeneracy of the form. Assume inductively that the statement holds for isotropic subspaces of  $V$  of dimension  $m - 1$ , and let  $A$  be an isotropic subspace of dimension  $m$ . Choose a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  for  $A$ , and let  $B'$  be the inductive complementary isotropic subspace to  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$ , with basis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}\}$ ,  $\omega(v_i, w_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j < m$ . Then there is a basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-1}, v'_m\}$  for  $A$  such that  $\omega(v'_m, w_i) = 0$  for all  $i \leq m$ . The final vector in the basis is given by

$$v'_m = v_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \omega(w_i, v_m) v_i.$$

Now choose  $w \in V$  with  $\omega(v'_m, w) = 1$ , and set

$$w_m = w - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \omega(v_i, w) w_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \omega(w_i, w) v_i.$$

Then  $\{v_1, \dots, v'_m, w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  is the desired  $\omega$ -isotropic basis for  $A + B$ , where  $B$  is the subspace generated by  $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ .

(b) This is very similar to the proof for (a). If the dimension of  $A$  is 1, let  $v_1$  be a generator for  $A$ . Then there is a  $w \in V$  such that  $\sigma(v_1, w) = 1$ . Set

$$w_1 = w - \frac{1}{2} \omega(w, w) v_1.$$

Then  $\{v_1, w_1\}$  is the desired  $\sigma$ -isotropic basis for  $A + B$ , where  $B$  is the isotropic subspace generated by  $w_1$ .

Assume inductively that the statement holds for isotropic subspaces of  $V$  of dimension  $m - 1$ , and let  $A$  be an isotropic subspace of dimension  $m$ . Choose a

basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  for  $A$ , and let  $B'$  be the inductive complementary isotropic subspace to  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$ , with basis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}\}$ ,  $\sigma(v_i, w_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , and  $\sigma(v_i, v_j) = \sigma(w_i, w_j)$  for  $i, j < m$ . Then there is a basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-1}, v'_m\}$  for  $A$  such that  $\sigma(v'_m, w_i) = 0$  for all  $i \leq m$ . The final vector in the basis is given by

$$v'_m = v_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sigma(w_i, v_m) v_i.$$

Now choose  $w \in V$  with  $\sigma(v'_m, w) = 1$ , and set

$$w_m = w - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sigma(v_i, w) w_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sigma(w_i, w) v_i.$$

Then  $\sigma(w_i, w_m) = \sigma(v_i, w_m) = 0$  for  $i < m$ .  $w_m$  is not yet the vector we want, for it is possible that  $\sigma(w_m, w_m) \neq 0$ . However, if we set

$$w'_m = w_m - \frac{1}{2} \sigma(w_m, w_m) v'_m,$$

then  $\{v_1, \dots, v'_m, w_1, \dots, w'_m\}$  is the desired  $\sigma$ -isotropic basis for  $A + B$ , where  $B$  is the subspace generated by  $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ . ■

**Corollary 1.** *The action of  $Sp(2n, F)$  on  $LGr(k, 2n)$  is transitive. The action of  $SO(d, F)$  on  $OGr(k, d)$  is transitive (if every element of  $F$  has a square root and  $\text{char } F \neq 2$ ).*

Proof: Let  $\mu : F^d \times F^d \rightarrow F$  be a symmetric, or skew-symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form. Let  $A, A' \subset V$  be isotropic subspaces of dimension  $k$ . Let  $B, B'$  be respective complementary isotropic subspaces as in Lemma 1 where  $A + B$  and  $A' + B'$  have respective  $\mu$ -isotropic bases  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  and  $\{v'_1, \dots, v'_k, w'_1, \dots, w'_k\}$ . Let  $M$  be a linear transformation such that  $Mv_i = v'_i$  and  $Mw_i = w'_i$ . This is a well-defined map from  $A + B$  to  $A' + B'$ . By Propositions 1 and 2, the chosen  $\mu$ -isotropic bases for  $A + B$  and  $A' + B'$  extend to  $\mu$ -isotropic bases for  $F^d$ . Therefore, there is a linear transformation  $M'$  that is equal to  $M$  on  $A + B$ , taking  $A$  to  $A'$ , that preserves  $\mu$ . Since  $A$  and  $A'$  were arbitrary, we have the result. ■

## Cell decompositions of isotropic Grassmannians

### Type C

From now on we work over the field of complex numbers.

The Grassmannian  $LGr(k, 2n)$  is the set of  $k$ -dimensional isotropic subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  with respect to a skew-symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form  $\omega$ . Choose an  $\omega$ -isotropic basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  and reorder it as  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, w_n, \dots, w_1\}$ . We will describe a decomposition of  $LGr(k, 2n)$  into disjoint subspaces homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^m$  for some  $m$  called **Schubert cells**, which will turn out to be the

orbits of the action of the Borel subgroup  $B \subset Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$  consisting of upper triangular matrices with respect to this basis.

Let  $F = F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_{2n}$  be the flag  $\langle v_1 \rangle \subset \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \subset \cdots \subset \langle v_1, \dots, v_n, w_n, \dots, w_1 \rangle$ . Let  $(\lambda, \lambda')$  be a pair of strict partitions such that  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda'_j$  for all  $i, j$ , and  $l(\lambda) + l(\lambda') = k$ . Then the Schubert cell  $X_{\lambda, \lambda'}^0 = X_{\lambda, \lambda'}^0(F)$  is defined by

$$\dim(E \cap F_j) = i \text{ if } n + 1 - \lambda_i \leq j < n + 1 - \lambda_{i+1},$$

and

$$\dim(E \cap F_j) = k - i \text{ if } n + \lambda'_{i+1} < j \leq n + \lambda'_i.$$

From their definition, it is clear that upper triangular elements of the symplectic group leave these Schubert cells invariant.

## Shifted tableaux and Weyl groups